

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,405	06/17/2005	Taro Mitsudera	8007-1095	4588
466	7590 06/29/2007		EXAM	INER
YOUNG & THOMPSON 745 SOUTH 23RD STREET			MULCAHY, PETER D	
2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON	VA 22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
/ KBINGTON	, 11 2202		1713	
		•		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/539,405	MITSUDERA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter D. Mulcahy	1713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence address	5
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting B7(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting B7(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting B7(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting B7(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting B7(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting B7(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting B7(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting B7(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting B7(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting B7(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting B7(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting B7(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting B7(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting B7(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting B7(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting B7(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting B7(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting B7(a). In no event, however, however, may a reply be ting B7(a). In no event, however, howe	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commun (D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Jule This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the construction of the constructi	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Second is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stag	e .
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/17/05 & 9/16/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/539,405 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kadakia et al. US 2004/0122149.
- 4. This document shows the claimed sebacic ester plasticizer and trimellitic ester plasticizer used in PVC resin compositions, see [0015]. The document further suggests the incorporation of a perchlorate stabilizer, [0022]. The difference between this teaching and the claimed invention is the lack of an anticipatory example. The claims are obvious because each of the claimed components is taught and suggested to be used in combination with each other. As such, one of ordinary skill would be motivated to use the claims ingredients in the manner claimed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy whose telephone number is 571-272-1107. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Peter D. Mulgahy Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

6/19/07